

ORDER SHEET
WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag
 & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No – RA 06 of 2019 (OA 184 OF 2019)

Partha Chandra Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
04 <hr/> 06.01.2020	<p>For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Advocate.</p> <p>For the State Respondents : None.</p> <p>The applicant has prayed for review of the order dated June 24, 2019 passed by the Tribunal in OA-184/2019. By order dated June 24, 2019 passed in OA-184/2019, we held that the applicant is a part-time casual employee and direction was given to the Sub-Divisional Controller, Food and Supplies, Suri to pay enhanced remuneration to the applicant @ ₹ 3000/- per month w.e.f. January 01, 2018 in terms of the Memorandum No. 7284-F(P2/FA/O/2M/1 dated February 27, 2017 issued by the Department of Finance, Audit Branch, Government of West Bengal within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of communication of the order.</p> <p>Mrs. Agarwal, Learned Counsel for the applicant has referred to a copy of memorandum no. 305-FA/3A-05/2012 dated January 21, 2014 issued by the Joint Director (A-II), Directorate of District Distribution Procurement and Supply and memo dated May 03, 2016 issued by the Sub-Divisional Controller (F&S), Suri submitted that the applicant is a casual employee discharging duty for eight (08) hours and as such he is entitled to get remuneration in terms of the G.O. No. 2966-F(P) dated April 23, 2010, which is applicable to</p>	

ORDER SHEET**Partha Chandra**

Form No.

Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**Case No. **RA 06 of 2019 (OA 184 OF 2019)**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Csm	<p>full time casual employee. On close scrutiny of both the documents we only find that the applicant is a casual employee. The documents do not indicate that the applicant is a full time casual employee. Nor do the documents go to establish that the applicant has been discharging duty for eight (08) hours as contended on behalf of the applicant. Accordingly, we do not find any merit in the submission made on behalf of the applicant. As a result, there is no merit in the Review Application filed on behalf of the applicant. The Review Application is, thus, dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Dr. S.K. Das) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (R.K. Bag) Member (J) </p>	